

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,030	12/11/2001	Philip David Steiner	026-0013	6289	
22120	7590 02/07/2006		EXAM	INER	
ZAGORIN O'BRIEN GRAHAM LLP 7600B N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.			TSE, YOU	TSE, YOUNG TOI	
SUITE 350 AUSTIN, TX 78731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2637	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/200	DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/015,030	STEINER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YOUNG T. TSE	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT . by statute, cause the application to become AR	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 10 November 2005					
l —	☐ This action is non-final.					
l <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) 28,30,35-37 and 39-42 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27,29,31-34,38 and 43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	vaminor					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 November 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) he held in aboven					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO 152				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	The Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.				
<u> </u>	foroign ngority under 25 H.O.O. S.	110(-) (1) (0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
_						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3 Conies of the certified conies of the	ho priority documents have been	plication No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 	Rureau (PCT Pulo 17 2/a))	eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		ngoived				
22 22 22 20 00 00 00	a list of the certified copies flot re	cceived.				
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	🗖 .					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11182005.		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue that the language of claim 1 is clear. The preamble recites a loss-of-signal (LOS) <u>condition</u>" which is distinguishable from a loss-of-signal <u>indication</u>" recited by line 6. Also applied to the same argument of claims 29 and 43.

The examiner agrees with the Applicants that the two terms recited in each of claims 1, 29 and 43 are distinguishable from each other. However, without making the changes to the claims suggested by the examiner, the claims are being indefinite for the same reason mentioned in claim 30 in paragraph 5 of the last Office Action. In other words, each preamble of claims 1, 29 and 43 recites either a method for determining or an apparatus detecting existence of a loss-of-signal condition, however, without the performance of determining or detecting the loss-of-signal condition in the body of the claims, they fail to achieve the goal of determining or detecting the loss-of-signal condition.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed November 18, 2005 has been partially considered by the examiner because the U.S. Patent Documents aa, cc, dd, ee, ff, gg and hh have been cited either in the IDS filed July 14, 2003 by the Applicants

Art Unit: 2637

or in PTO-892 by the examiner. Further, the Non Patent Literature Documents ii and jj also have been cited in the IDS filed April 05, 2002 by the Applicants.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on November 18, 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4 (line 3) and page 17 (line 6), "1200" should be "1201" as shown in Figure 12. The Applicants fail to respond to the objection of the specification on pages 4 and 17 (see paragraph 1 of the last Office Action). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-27, 29, 31-34, 38 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each preamble of claims 1, 29 and 43 recites either a method for determining or an apparatus detecting existence of a loss-of-signal condition, however, without the performance of determining or detecting the loss-of-signal condition in the body of the Application/Control Number: 10/015,030

Art Unit: 2637

claims, they fail to achieve the goal of determining or detecting the loss-of-signal condition.

Claims 2-27 are directly or indirectly depended on the independent claim 1.

In claim 31 (line 3), claim 33 (line 2) and claim 34 (line 2), the Applicants are requested to clarify the difference of "a loss-of-signal indication" recited in each of the amended claims.

Claim 32 is depended on claim 31.

In claim 38, the Applicants are request to clarify the difference of "converter circuit" for the "one-to-transition" and "converter" for the "transition-to-one" since the examiner has been suggested to delete the word "circuit" in paragraph 3 of the last Office Action for consistency to use "converter" for both the "one-to-transition" and the "transition-to-one". However, the Applicants maintain that the language of claim 38 is clear.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 28, 30, 35-37 and 39-42 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 1-27, 29, 31-34, 38 and 43 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOUNG T. TSE whose telephone number is (571) 272-30513051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternative Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The Central FAX Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,030

Art Unit: 2637

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YOUNG T. TSE Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637